Almost everyone knows, right?

For thousands of years, Homosexuality was defined as a crime, a sin, and often defined as a mental illness. Almost everyone KNEW this to be a FACT. Self-evident. Obvious. Proven beyond doubt countless times by countless famous academics, doctors, lawyers, scientists, and researchers. Accepted by all rational and sane and good people. UNDENIABLE.

Yet there were some deviant, criminal, mentally ill (according to the experts of their day), people who DENIED this. They were defined as legitimate targets of all sorts of violence and discrimination, on account of their DENIAL. Everyone was free to feel superior to them. To vent their own self-loathing and frustration on them.

For thousands of years, fighting for equal rights for women, such as the vote, the right to work outside the home, freedom from sexual discrimination, and sexual harassment, was defined as unnatural, a sin, and as a sign of mental illness. It was criminalized as a criminal act. An act ONLY committed by criminals. Criminals who persisted in committing these crimes were then defined as mentally ill. Their DENIAL was medicalized. They were experimented on in mental asylums by ‘doctors’ seeking to understand WHY someone WOULD deny things so clearly proven beyond any doubt, IN THE COURTS BY JUDGES. Who needed SCIENTIFIC research when all the JUDGES already agreed on what was real and what wasn’t? Surely it was the LEGAL SYSTEMS place to define reality, and not the SCIENTIFIC community? And anyway, most scientists were MEN, right, and THEY could be trusted to be impartial, when it came to ensuring THEIR benefits in society. THEIR favorable treatment at the hands of the courts and society? THEY could not possibly have any ULTERIOR motives for denying women the right to vote? For denying women the very faculties of REASON and RATIONALITY? Only a CONSPIRACY THEORISTS would ever entertain the INSANE and LAUGHABLE idea that men might ABUSE their political power to DENY anyone justice. To DENY the truth?

Almost everyone KNEW that women simply were not COMPETENT to vote. That it was UNNATURAL for women to even WANT to have jobs outside the home. Or to take part in politics. To vote. To have a say in how their world was run. There was consensus in the public opinion. These were all FACTS. Self-evident. Obvious. Proven beyond doubt countless times by countless famous academics, doctors, lawyers, scientists, and researchers. Accepted by all rational and sane and good people. UNDENIABLE.

So for thousands of years it was a crime to fight for equal rights for women, including the right to vote, because 'almost everyone knows' women are irrational and it is simply unnatural for women to participate in politics, or even to vote. Some people called suffragettes committed the CRIME of DENYING this, publically. They were punished by the law. Put in prison. Fined. Their careers were ruined, if they had one. They lost their jobs. They were mocked by society. They were ridiculed. They were the victims of acts of violence. They were rejected and abandoned by society. Their ideas defined as criminal and anti-social. They lived out their existences on the 'fringe' of society, as outcasts, as undesirables. They were 'ex-communicated' from all 'good society'. They were defined as legitimate targets of all sorts of violence and discrimination, on account of their DENIAL. Everyone was free to feel superior to them. To vent their own self-loathing and frustration on them.

For thousands of years it was a crime to attempt to free slaves. Even Jesus commanded ‘Slaves, obey your masters’. Slavery was natural. This was obvious. UNDENIABLE. Slaves were the possessions of their owners. Their children would also become the possessions of the slaves’ current owners. This was the natural state of things. Ordained by gods proxies here on earth, the Priests. And agreed upon by ALL THE LEGAL COURTS in all the nations.

Almost everyone ACCEPTED this fact. All rational and sane and good people, anyway. Anyone who wanted to keep THEIR job and stay out of prison.

For the last few centuries at least, (tradition is clearly the best arbiter of what is right and just and good and true!) , almost everyone in the West has KNOWN that ‘colored people’s from Africa and Asia and the Middle East are all inferior’ to ‘white Europeans’.

Almost everyone KNEW this fact. All rational and sane and good people, anyway. Anyone who wanted to keep THEIR job, and not be replaced by a ‘colored’ person who would do the same job for less money.

Almost everyone knows that ‘races’ exist. If your skin is a different color, clearly this makes you a member of an entirely different race of humans. And we should extrapolate from this difference many other ‘moral’ and ‘intellectual’ differences. Clearly ‘dark’ skin and ‘dark’ eyes and ‘slanted eyes’ and ‘large lips’, and ‘broad noses’ are all scientifically proven indicators of inferior intellect and weak morals? Almost everyone knows this! It is the most thoroughly
documented fact known to all, right? Every judge in every court in every ‘white’ nation ruled in favor of this verdict. THE COURTS HAVE PROVEN IT time and time again. It is BEYOND QUESTION!

Even ‘god’ was brought into the aforementioned ‘debates’, though strangely he never ‘spoke for himself’, ‘the Church’ acted as his proxy, until he ‘found his own voice’.

Though apparently his choice of communication method was to arrange the intestines of farm animals, the flight patterns of flocks of birds, the tea at the bottom of cups of tea, coffee grind remainders, the movements of the stars and planets, or via cloud formations and other such ‘signs’. I guess he grew up in a non-verbal culture, where even body language was frowned upon, but people managed to communicate in the aforementioned ways?

Is it simply that ‘god’ has every super power other than speech? Or is it that ‘god’ has had a 3000 year case of laryngitis? It seems this ‘god’ does NOT have the ability to DO anything here on earth, other than create it, flood it, and ‘smite’ the enemies of the ‘Jews’. Oh, but to be fair, the last time he is credited with ‘speech’, he commanded ‘The Jews’ to commit genocide, and to utter annihilate every trace of the ‘nations’ that lived on land they wanted for themselves, to ‘rip up pregnant women’ and ‘dash their little ones’ heads against the stones (river stones by the rivers of Babylon)!...how’s them for ‘famous last words’? Maybe we’re better off that he broke off communications at that point? I mean, prior to that he commanded the oceans to rise up and drown all living creatures on the planet right? And then he threatened that next time he’d kill us all by fire, right? So yeh, maybe it’s for the better that YHWH appears to have lost interest in us! Or at least has been keeping his thoughts to himself, for the last 3000 years!

So it seems that FACTS that ‘ALMOST EVERYBODY KNOWS’, and upon which there was LEGAL CONSENSUS and consensus of PUBLIC OPINION (at least that openly and publically expressed), often turn out to be a load of bollocks!

It seems JUDGES can get it wrong. Even all the judges in all the courts in all the world, can be WRONG. They are NOT the arbiter of truth and reality they imagine themselves to be.

But where do they claim they received the right and power to define reality FROM?

Did YOU vote for ‘Holocaust denial’ laws?

Were you ever even ASKED to vote on such laws?

Was there EVER even a public debate about whether or NOT to introduce such laws?

It seems ‘the wider scientific community’ often gets it wrong.

It seems ‘THE MAJORITY IS OFTEN WRONG’, when it comes to PUBLIC OPINION.

It seems the PRIESTS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES, and NOT with the ‘transferred authority’ of some ‘god’.

So what about ‘Holocaust denial’? Did the judges in the courts get it wrong AGAIN? What about the ‘official historians’ and their ‘official histories’? How many ACADEMICS came out in full support of RACISM, SEXISM, DISCRIMINATION, and even SLAVERY? Has public opinion once more found itself on the wrong side of THE FACTS? Is THAT surprising, given that ‘Public Opinion is USUALLY wrong’?

Who REALLY benefitted from such attitudes and laws that ‘almost everyone’ was in favor of, and publically supported?

Who THOUGHT they benefited from, and needed, such laws? Laws which ‘almost everyone accepts as necessary’.

WHO decided it was NECESSARY to criminalise public dissent? To criminalise divergent thought? To criminalise informed opinion? To criminalise scientific and historical research? To censor research papers? To punish academics who do NOT subscribe to the ‘official’ dogma, at least publically?

Again pay attention to the power of the little discussed phenomena of ‘collective ignorance’. Whereby you make people THINK that OTHER people think something, so that they will also pretend to think the same thing, to ‘get along’, and ‘not rock the boat’. So that you end up with a group of people pretending to believe something that NONE of them actually believe. And THIS is the case with ‘The Holocaust’. And THIS is the REAL reason ‘Holocaust denial’ laws were secretly put in place, by Cult of Judah Agents / Affiliates. To censor all public discussion. To ensure that everyone came to imagine that everyone ELSE believed the official ‘Holocaust’ narrative. So that THEY would PRETEND, in public, to believe it to. To go along to get along. To avoid career limiting moves. To avoid losing their jobs. Even tenured jobs. To avoid
being blacklisted by journals, and the entire academic community.

In the same way the ‘Federal Reserve Banking Act’ and ‘Federal Income Tax Act’ was secretly passed by President Wilson. In the same way that Roosevelt and Wilson defied the U.S Constitution in word and spirit, by engaging in ‘foreign wars’. These ‘Old Testament Christians’ were emulating Old Testament role models, in destroying the Cult of Judah’s opposition, acting as Cult of Judah proxies and puppets, and committing YOUR lives and treasure to helping the Cult of Judah realises ITS 3000 year strategy to enslave humanity.

WHO BENEFITS from ‘Holocaust Denial’ laws? You? How? How on earth do you imagine YOU stand to benefit from being denied access to information? How on earth do YOU benefit from denying people the right to freely and openly discuss a matter of supreme historical importance? And of extreme importance TODAY, to YOUR life. And the lives of your loved ones.

So if it is NOT you, who benefits from ‘Holocaust Denial laws’, who does?

If it was not YOU who was asked ‘Do you want the government to spend your taxes on imprisoning people simply for expressing public skepticism about the official ‘Holocaust’ narrative, then who WAS it that decided to spend YOUR money on criminalising Holocaust Skepticism, and defining it as ‘denial’, and as a criminal act?

Will censoring people, and putting them in prison, and ruining their lives, make them more or less likely to feel benevolent to ‘Jews’, and make them more or less likely to support future actions against them?

Does the truth require laws to protect it? If ‘the facts’ support ‘The Holocaust’ narrative, then do they really need to be coddled and protected like a weak, defenseless, child?

If ‘almost everyone knows’ that ‘The Holocaust’ happened, then why do the few who do NOT ‘know’ this need to be criminalized, and medicalized? Just like the people in the past who opposed slavery. Who opposed racism. Who opposed sex and racial discrimination. Who fought for the right for women to vote, and work outside the home.

Now keep something clearly in mind. To end up in prison, bankrupt, and unemployable in any public career with any public institution, and even blacklisted by most private corporations, and denied access to all social media platforms, all you need do is publically express SKEPTICISM about ANY element of the official ‘Holocaust’ narrative. THIS is your ‘crime’. One you can end up spending 6 years in solitary confinement for. In fact if you refuse to censor yourself, the moment you finish that 6 year prison sentence, you will automatically be re-imprisoned for the SAME crime. In other words anyone who engages in ‘Holocaust Denial’ faces a LIFE PRISON SENTENCE merely for expressing, publically, skepticism of the official ‘Holocaust’ narrative. For challenging ANY part of it, publically. For merely publically QUESTIONING any supposed ‘fact’ or ‘argument’ contained in that official narrative.

THIS IS WHY I REQUIRE POLITICALASYLUM AND MAY NEVER RETURN TO GERMANY OR AUSTRALIA, OR TRAVEL TO ANY NATION THAT HAS CRIMINALISED HOLOCAUST SKEPTICISM AND CALLED IT ‘HOLOCAUST DENIAL’.

I have great respect for those people facing torture and imprisonment in their own countries for ‘thought crimes’ like my own. I do NOT take this application lightly. I sincerely hope that my seeking asylum in Norway does NOT prevent someone else in an even worse situation from gaining asylum there.

THIS IS THE FACT. I AM A PHILOSOPHER. THAT IS MY RELIGION. MY CONSCIENCE WILL NOT ALLOW ME TO KEEP WHAT I HAVE LEARNED TO MYSELF. I CANNOT ‘GO ALONG TO GET ALONG’ WHEN IT COMES TO MATTERS OF PRINCIPLE. HOLOCAUST DENIAL LAWS IN AUSTRALIA AND GERMANY REQUIRE ME TO SELF-CENSOR. TO DENY THE PUBLIC ACCESS TO WHAT I HAVE LEARNED. TO WHAT I UNDERSTAND. TO THE WISDOM I HAVE GAINED. TO THE INFORMATION I AM IN POSSESSION OF. FOR ME THIS WOULD BE THE ULTIMATE SIN AGAINST THE UNIVERSE, AND ALL SENTIENT BEINGS. A REAL CRIME I AM NOT CAPABLE OF COMMITTING. IT IS AGAINST MY NATURE. AND THE AUTHORITIES WOULD SEEK TO ‘MEDICALISE’ THIS AS A FORM OF MENTAL ILLNESS, TO AVOID THE PUBLIC RECOGNITION THAT ‘HOLOCAUST DENIAL’ LAWS CARRY A LIFE SENTENCE FOR ANYONE LIKE MYSELF INCAPABLE OF SELF-CENSORING THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTS AND INSIGHTS VIS A VIS THE PEACEFUL AND PRODUCTIVE AND CREATIVE SUCCESS OF ANY HUMAN SOCIETY.

TO CLARIFY. I CANNOT SELF-CENSOR ON THE ISSUE OF ‘THE HOLOCAUST’. THIS MEANS THAT UNDER CURRENT GERMAN AND AUSTRALIAN LAWS I WILL BE DOOMED TO SPEND THE REST OF MY LIFE IN PRISON. AT THE EXPENSE OF THE GERMAN AND AUSTRALIAN TAXPAYERS TO RENT A ROOM AT A LUXURY HOTEL IN SYDNEY OR BERLIN, UNTIL THE DAY I DIE. WITH FULL ROOM SERVICE AND MEDICAL CARE. AND SPECIAL SECURITY. I AM 50. I WILL PROBABLY LIVE TO BE 90. SO WE ARE TALKING 40 YEARS. I COULD GET A SPECIALIST ACCOUNTANT TO CALCULATE THE ACTUAL MARGINAL COSTS OF IMPRISONING ME, IF
YOU LIKE.

THE QUESTION I ASK YOU, THE GERMAN AND AUSTRALIAN TAXPAYER, IS THIS. ARE YOU PREPARED TO IMPRISON ME FOR LIFE, AND TO FINANCE THAT IMPRISONMENT FOR 40 YEARS, SIMPLY BECAUSE I WISH TO ENGAGE IN FREE AND OPEN PUBLIC DEBATE ABOUT ‘THE HOLOCAUST’?


I DO NOT BELIEVE THE CULT OF JUDAH WOULD HAVE ANY POWER IN A FREE AND OPEN SOCIETY WHERE OPEN AND FREE DISCUSSION OF ALL MATTERS WAS POSSIBLE AND ENCOURAGED. SO I WOULD NOT EVEN FEEL ANY NEED TO TAKE ANY PARTICULAR STEPS AGAINST THE CULT OF JUDAH AGENTS IN AUSTRALIA OR GERMANY, OR ANY OTHER CULT OF JUDAH OCCUPIED NATION. ALL I AM DEMANDING IS THE RIGHT TO FREE AND OPEN DISCUSSION AND INFORMED DEBATE.

YOU DO NOT EVEN HAVE TO LISTEN TO ME. I ONLY DEMAND THE RIGHT TO OFFER THE INFORMATION AND INSIGHTS I HAVE GAINED, TO YOU. YOU CAN REFUSE TO LISTEN IF YOU CHOOSE. YOU ARE FREE TO.

GIVEN THIS FACT, WHAT IS THE POINT OF ‘HOLOCAUST DENIAL’ LAWS? GIVEN THAT MOST PEOPLE HAVE CLOSED MINDS, NOT OPEN TO CHANGE, WHAT DAMAGE COULD ANYONE POSSIBLY DO SIMPLY BY OFFERING TO SHARE THEIR OWN PERSONAL INSIGHTS AND UNDERSTANDINGS WITH YOU?

UNLIKE THE CULT OF JUDAH, AND YOUR GOVERNMENT, I DO NOT FORCER ANYONE TO VISIT MY ‘MUSEUM’ OR TO ‘STUDY’ MY BOOKS AND WATCH MY VIDEOS. YOUR CHILDREN WILL NEVER BE FORCED BY ME TO ADOPT MY OPINIONS AS THEIR OWN. I DO NOT EVEN CLAIM TO ‘KNOW’ ANYTHING. I PRACTISE ZEN HUMEAN SKEPTICISM. I ENTERTAIN IDEAS, AND SEE WHERE THEY LEAD. I ACT HEURISTICALLY. I DO NOT HOLD ANY OPINION DOGMATICALLY AS AN ARTICLE OF FAITH.

I NEED AN AUDIENCE FOR THESE ARGUMENTS, BECAUSE I WILL NEVER BE ALLOWED TO MAKE THEM PUBLICALLY, IN THE NATIONS WHERE THEY MOST NEED TO BE HEARD.

I HAVE NOTHING TO GAIN PERSONALLY FROM THIS ENTERPRISE. NO FAME OR FORTUNE OR LOVE. IN FACT PURSUING THIS SORT OF ACTION ALWAYS LEADS TO REJECTION AND ABANDONMENT, AND EX-COMMUNICATION, IMPRISONMENT, AND FATES WORSE THAN DEATH, FOR THE VANGUARD AND PIONEERS.

JUST AS COUNTERS PEOPLE SUFFERED TO ENSURE THAT TODAY THERE IS NO OFFICIAL LEGAL SLAVERY, WOMEN CAN VOTE AND WORK OUTSIDE THE HOME, RACE DISCRIMINATION IS CRIMINAL AND SOCIALLY STIGMATISED, AND THE WORLD IS A BETTER PLACE FOR THIS. YOUR LIVES ARE BETTER FOR THIS.

I PROMISE YOUR LIVES WILL BE EVEN BETTER IF YOU DEMAND THE IMMEDIATE ‘SUSPENSION’ OF ‘HOLOCAUST DENIAL’ LAWS IN GERMANY, AUSTRALIA, AND ANYWHERE ELSE THEY ARE ‘THE LAW’.

SURELY YOU SHOULD BE THE ONES DECIDING WHETHER OR NOT ‘HOLOCAUST LAWS’ ARE NECESSARY. WHETHER THEY ARE GOOD FOR YOU. WHETHER YOU SHOULD BE PAYING TO HAVE THEM POLICED. WHETHER YOU SHOULD BE INVESTING MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN IMPRISONING ME IN GERMANY OR AUSTRALIA, SIMPLY FOR BEING A PHILOSOPHER. FOR BEING TOO CONSCIENTIOUS TO PRETEND I BELIEVE THINGS I ‘KNOW’ ARE NOT TRUE. FOR BEING TOO CONSCIENTIOUS TO LEAVE YOU ‘AT THE MERCY OF’ THE CULT OF JUDAH, WHOSE ROLE MODELS AND ‘LAW’ SHOW NO MERCY. ASK A PALESTINIAN TODAY ABOUT THAT. READ YOUR BIBLES TO SEE WHAT ROLE MODELS THE CULT OF JUDAH AGENTS / AFFILIATES HAVE FOR THEIR ‘ETHICS’.

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SEED, AND REPOST, MY ENTIRE TROONATNOOR LIBRARY OF BOOKS AND GUIDES AND NOVELS AND VIDEOS, WHILE YOU ARE STILL FREE TO.

DOWNLOAD ALL OF THESE AT NO COST TO YOU (I’VE ALREADY PAID THE COST, AND WILL SOON PAY THE ULTIMATE PRICE) FROM TROONATNOOR PATREON, TROONATNOOR WORDPRESS, TROONATNOOT BITCHUTE.
I’VE BEEN OFFICIALLY BANNED FOR LIFE FROM YOUTUBE AND ALL OTHER GOOGLE PRODUCTS, AND FROM SMASHWORDS AND APPLE AND DRAFT2DIGITAL, AND MANY OF MY BOOKS HAVE BEEN BANNED FROM AMAZON.

YOU WILL FIND A BOOK FOR EVERYONE AMONG MY IMPRINT. GUIDES TO NUTRITION, SINGING, GUITAR, MUSIC, LUCID DREAMING, AUDIO ENGINEERING, MAKING COFFEE, MAKING SOY MILK, THE REAPER DAW, AND NOVELS FOR ADULTS AND CHILDREN. MY LIFE’S WORK. THE FRUITS OF MY PERSONAL SACRIFICE, RISK, AND EFFORT.

I AM NOW WORKING ON MY MUSIC MORE INTENSIVELY THAN EVER BEFORE. I MAY END UP IN PRISON BEFORE I GET TO FINISH ANY OF MY SONGS TO THE STANDARD THEY DESERVE. I DOUBT THEY WILL LET ME WORK ON MY MUSIC IN PRISON. AND IF I DID, THEY WOULD STEAL ALL THE ROYALTIES I PLANNED TO DONATE TO PETA, AND SIMILAR CHARITIES, AND USE THEM FOR MALICIOUS CULT OF JUDAH PURPOSES.

PLEASE GO VEGAN. FOR AS YOU DO UNTO OTHER SENTIENT BEINGS, SO SHALL BE DONE UNTO YOU.

The world as will and representation. What you will for others, you are willing for yourself. Treat others as mere means to your own selfish ends, and you will become a mere means for the selfish ends of others.

September 7, 2019
In exile in Estonia